

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Criminal No.
04-40015-FDS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JONATHAN VEGA

ORDER OF DETENTION

August 19, 2004

COHEN, M.J.

After hearing, the government's motion for detention pending trial is allowed.

On the occasion of his first appearance before Judge Swartwood, the above-named defendant, notwithstanding clear and convincing evidence that he was an abuser of illegal controlled substances, was ordered released on conditions pending trial. Those conditions included, among other things, the requirement that the defendant no engage in criminal activity, and that the defendant not use any controlled substances.

The record evidence¹ shows that on August 6, 2004, while released on the

¹ The record evidence at the hearing consisted of a police report attached to the Memorandum of Pretrial Services Officer Vangie Cuascut to Judge Swartwood, the fact of which were, for purposes of the hearing before this court, stipulated to by the defendant.

conditions referred to above pending trial on charges of possession and concealment of stolen firearms, was involved in an altercation, during the course of which, he was stabbed and required emergency hospitalization. At the hospital room, officers discovered twelve (12) bags of heroin and cash in the amount of \$100. The defendant advised at that time that a friend "...had given him the drugs to hold." He was subsequently charged in the Worcester District Court on charges of possession of heroin with intent to distribute, and possession of heroin.

In the circumstances, the defendant, by his own words and deeds, has demonstrated that no condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure that the defendant would not pose a danger to the community. The record evidence before this court gives this court reason to believe that the defendant, while on conditions of release, possessed heroin with intent to distribute.² That is not only a violation of his conditions of release, but also a serious felony under both state and federal law. In these circumstances, where the defendant has already shown that he was will and able to, and indeed, did, possess heroin with intent to distribute, the government has established by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions³ - short of pretrial detention - would reasonably assure the

² At the hearing, defendant proffered, through counsel, the suggestion that he possessed the twelve bags of heroin solely for personal use - *i.e.*, to drown then personal sorrows. In the circumstances, this court finds that suggestion to be incredulous. For one thing, it smack reality that the defendant, with spare change of some \$100 in his pockets, and in the middle of a fray which resulted in his stabbing, simply intended to ingest the heroin for that purpose - particularly where he has never suggested that he abused heroin in the past (he advised Pretrial Services that he used marihuana and cocaine in the past). And for another, that suggestion is at odds with his earlier statement to the Worcester Police in which he indicated that a friend "...had given him the drugs to hold."

³ And certainly not the conditions suggested by the defendant. He suggested that all would be well if he was confined to his father's house. But it is not clear that his father would permit him to live there. More to the point, however, is the fact that the defendant's father was, according to the Pretrial Services report, also an abuser (continued...)

safety of the community.

IT IS ACCORDINGLY ORDERED that the defendant be DETAINED pending trial, and it is further Ordered--

(1) That the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;

(2) That the defendant be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

(3) On order of a court of the United States or on request by an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is detained and confined deliver the defendant to an authorized Deputy United States Marshal for the purpose of any appearance in connection with a court proceeding.



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE